IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

IN RE:	§
	§
BIG LOTS, INC., et al.	§ CASE NO. 24-11967 (JKS)
	§ (Chapter 11)
DEBTORS. 1	§
	§ Hearing Date: Feb. 26, 2025 at 10:30 a.m.
	8 Objection Deadline: Feb. 14, 2025 at 4:00 p.m.

MOTION OF STEVE SILVER FURNITURE COMPANY FOR ALLOWANCE AND PAYMENT OF ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSE CLAIM UNDER 11 U.S.C. §§ 503(B)(1) AND 503(B)(9)

Steve Silver Furniture Company (the "Claimant"), a creditor in the above-captioned, jointly administered bankruptcy cases, by and through its undersigned attorneys, files this *Motion for Allowance and Payment of Administrative Expense Claim under 1 U.S.C. §§ 503(b)(1) and 503(b)(9)* (the "Motion"). Claimant requests entry of an order, substantially in the form attached hereto as Exhibit A (i) allowing its administrative expense claim as set forth herein pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §§ 503(b)(1) and 503(b)(9), (ii) ordering payment thereof within seven days of entry of the order, and (iii) granting any other relief that the Court finds just and proper. In support of the Motion, Claimant respectfully sets forth the following:

Jurisdiction and Venue

¹ The debtors and debtors in possession in these chapter 11 cases, along with the last four digits of their respective employer identification numbers, are as follows: *Great Basin, LLC* (6158); *Big Lots, Inc.* (9097); *Big Lots Management, LLC* (7948); *Consolidated Property Holdings, LLC* (0984); *Broyhill LLC* (7868); *Big Lots Stores - PNS, LLC* (5262); *Big Lots Stores, LLC* (6811); *BLBO Tenant, LLC* (0552); *Big Lots Stores - CSR, LLC* (6182); *CSC Distribution LLC* (8785); *Closeout Distribution, LLC* (0309); *Durant DC, LLC* (2033); *AVDC, LLC* (3400); *GAFDC LLC* (8673); *PAFDC LLC* (2377); *WAFDC, LLC* (6163); *INFDC, LLC* (2820); *Big Lots eCommerce LLC* (9612); and *Big Lots F&S, LLC* (3277). The address of the debtors' corporate headquarters is 4900 E. Dublin Granville Road, Columbus, OH 43081.

- 1. The Court has jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. §§ 157 and 1334 and the *Amended Standing Order of Reference* from the United States District Court for the District of Delaware, dated as of February 29, 2012.
 - 2. Venue is proper under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409.
- 3. The statutory bases for the relief requested herein are Sections 105, 503(a), 503(b)(1), 503(b)(9), and 507(a)(2) of title 11 of the United States Code (the "Bankruptcy Code").
- 4. This is a core proceeding under 28 U.S.C. § 157(b). Pursuant to Rule 9013-1(f) of the Local Rules for the United States Bankruptcy Court for the District of Delaware (the "Local Rules"), Claimant consents to the entry of a final judgment or order with respect to this Motion if it is determined that the Court would lack Article III jurisdiction to enter such final order or judgment absent consent of the parties.

Factual Background

- 5. The Debtors commenced the above-captioned, jointly administered cases by filing voluntary petitions under chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code on September 9, 2024 (the "Petition Date").
- 6. The Debtors are operating their businesses as debtors in possession. No trustee or examiner has been appointed at this time. On September 23, 2024, the U.S. Trustee filed a notice of appointment of a committee of unsecured creditors [D.I. 248].
- 7. On the Petition Date, the Debtors filed a Motion to Approve Debtor in Possession Financing [D.I. 19] (the "DIP Financing Motion") which, inter alia, sought approval to pay over \$970 million of operating disbursements between September 1, 2024 and November 30, 2024. See DIP Financing Motion, Budget. The Debtors explained that such financing was necessary to "satisfy an immediate cash need to maintain business relationships with vendors, suppliers,

operators and managers, to make capital expenditures and to satisfy other working capital and operational needs and otherwise finance the Debtors' operations." DIP Financing Motion, ¶4. The Debtors further explained that they "require[]uninterrupted access to their cash and an immediate capital infusion in order to, among other things, (a) satisfy payroll, pay vendors and suppliers, and meet overhead expenses, ... (c) pay for goods and services critical to necessary operations ..." DIP Financing Motion, ¶6.

- 8. Additionally, according to the Debtors, the "DIP Facilities are necessary to the successful resolution of these cases, which is important to reassure customers and vendors, protect operations, and maximize value for creditors." DIP Financing Motion, ¶32. Failing to pay such creditors is not reassurance.
- 9. On September 10, 2024, the Court entered the Interim DIP Order [D.I. 114], and on October 22, 2024, the Court entered the Final DIP Order [D.I. 584].
- 10. Prior to and following entry of the Interim DIP Order and Final DIP Order, the Debtors ordered products from the Claimant, a pre-petition vendor of the Debtors. Specifically, Claimant received and fulfilled pre-petition and post-petition purchase orders from the Debtors in the ordinary course of business, but the Debtors breached their obligations and have not paid for such goods as summarized below.
- 11. Claimant delivered goods with a purchase price and value of no less than \$2,640,683.58 to the Debtors in the normal course of business post-petition for which payment is now overdue and remains outstanding. A summary of account and individual invoices for post-petition amounts owed by the Debtors to Claimant is attached to the Declaration of Jason Jones, attached hereto as Exhibit B. Of the goods, \$690,276.55 was delivered in the 20-days prior to the

Debtors' bankruptcy filing and the remaining \$1,950,407.03 was delivered after the Debtors' bankruptcy filing. These amounts remain outstanding and payment is overdue.

12. Claimant seeks allowance and immediate payment of its Administrative Expense Claim in the total amount of \$2,640,683.58.

RELIEF REQUESTED

- a. Claimant is Entitled to Payment of Its Administrative Expense Claim for Goods Ordered by, and Delivered to, the Debtors Post-Petition and in the 20 days prepetition.
- 13. Section 503(b) of the Bankruptcy Code mandates the allowance of an administrative expense for "... the actual, necessary costs and expenses of preserving the estate "

11 U. S. C. 503(b)(1)(A).

- 14. To qualify as an administrative expense, an expense "must arise from a [post-petition] transaction with the debtor-in-possession" and "must be beneficial to the debtor-in-possession in the operation of the business." *In re Marcal Paper Mills, Inc.*, 650 F.3d 311, 314-15 (3rd Cir. 2011); *see also Calpine Corp. v. O'Brien Env't Energy, Inc. (In re O'Brien Env't Energy, Inc.)*, 181 F.3d 527, 532–33 (3d Cir. 1999) (noting that, to establish a priority claim under section 503(b)(1)(A) of the Bankruptcy Code, the debt must arise post-petition and benefit the estate) (citations omitted).
- 15. "[W]hen third parties are induced to supply goods or services to the debtor-in-possession pursuant to a contract that has not been rejected, the purposes of [administrative claims] plainly require that their claims be afforded priority." *In re Goody's Family Clothing, Inc.*, 610 F.3d 812, 818 (3d Cir. 2010) (internal citations omitted, second modification in original); *see also In re Bethlehem Steel Corp.*, 291 B.R. 260, 264 (Bankr. S.D. N.Y. 2003) (cited with approval in

In re Smurfit-Stone Container Corp., 425 B.R. 735, 741 (Bankr. D. Del. 2010) ("There is an initial assumption that, where a contract exists, the contractual rate is the reasonable value of the goods or services provided to the estate.").

16. The Debtors have admitted that:

"the uninterrupted supply of Goods and Services, on Customary Trade Terms, and the continuing support of their customers are imperative to the Debtors' ongoing businesses and operations and the preservation and maximization of the value of the Debtors' estates. The continued availability of trade credit, in amounts and on terms consistent with those that the Debtors have worked hard to obtain over time, is also clearly advantageous to the Debtors, as it allows the Debtors to maintain and enhance necessary liquidity and to focus on returning to profitability and preserving and maximizing the value of the Debtors' estates."

- D.I. 11, Critical Vendor Motion, \$\mathbb{P}\$ 34. Furthermore, in the DIP Financing Motion, the Debtors stated that "DIP Facilities are necessary ... to reassure customers and vendors, protect operations, and maximize value for creditors." DIP Financing Motion, \$\psi_32\$.
- 17. Therefore, the Claimant's Administrative Expense Claim for post-petition deliveries should be allowed as an actual and necessary cost to preserve the Debtors' estates, using the logic of the Debtors' own prior representations and arguments.
- 18. Since the Petition Date, the Debtors have stocked their stores with no less than \$1,950,407.03 in inventory purchased from Claimant. The goods provided by Claimant to the Debtors have been vital and necessary for maintaining the value of the Debtors' estates. Claimant's uninterrupted shipment of goods to the Debtors has been critical to the Debtors' businesses during this bankruptcy, providing direct and substantial benefits to the estates. Claimant is therefore entitled to administrative expense priority for its Administrative Expense Claim for the value of the goods delivered post-petition.
 - 19. Further, Section 503(b)(9) provides for an administrative expense for

the value of any goods received by the debtor within 20 days before the date of commencement of a case under this title in which the goods have been sold to the debtor in the ordinary course of such debtor's business.

11 U.S.C. § 503(b)(9).

20. Prior to the Petition Date, and in the 20-days prior to same, the Debtors received deliveries of goods in the value of \$690,276.55, pursuant to purchase orders sold to the Debtors in the ordinary course of business. These amounts remain unpaid, and payment is overdue. The Debtors already had Court authorization to pay section 503(b)(9) Claims in accordance with the Final DIP Order. But the Debtors failed to make such payments. Accordingly, Debtors should immediately pay Claimant's section 503(b)(9) payment.

b. The Court Should Compel Payment of Claimant's Administrative Expense Claim Within Seven Days.

21. The Court has discretion to require that Claimant's Administrative Expense Claim be paid immediately. See In re Garden Ridge Corp., 323 B. R. 136, 143 (Bankr. D. Del. 2005). The "requirement of paying administrative claims 'on the effective date of the plan' has been interpreted [by courts] as setting an outside limit, with nothing preventing a court from authorizing the payment of an administrative-expense claim earlier than the effective date of the debtor's plan of reorganization." In re Arts Dairy, LLC, 414 B.R. 219, 221 (Bankr. N.D. Ohio 2009) (citing In Re Plastech Engineered Prods., 394 B.R. 147, 152 (Bankr. E.D. Mich. 2008) (holding that the timing for payment of an administrative expense is left to the sound discretion of the court)). In fact, "in the instance of administrative claims arising from ordinary course of business payments, such as that made for wages and trade debt, it is generally accepted that such expenses be paid when due, and not when the debtor's plan becomes effective." Id.

- 22. "In determining the time of payment, courts consider prejudice to the debtor, hardship to the claimant, and potential detriment to other creditors." *Garden Ridge Corp.*, 323 B.R. 143. "In making this determination, one of the chief factors courts consider is bankruptcy's goal of an orderly and equal distribution among creditors and the need to prevent a race to a debtor's assets." *In re HQ Global Holdings, Inc.*, 282 B.R. 169, 173 (Bankr. D. Del. 2002) (internal citations omitted). "Courts will also consider the particular needs of each administrative claimant and the length and expense of the case's administration." *In re NE OPCO, Inc.*, 501 B.R. 233, 259 (Bankr. D. Del. 2013) (internal citations omitted). "To qualify for exceptional immediate payment, a creditor must show that 'there is a necessity to pay and not merely that the Debtor has the ability to pay." *In re Global Home Products*, 2006 WL 3791955, at *3 (Bankr. D. Del. Dec. 21, 2006) (citing *In re Continental Airlines*, 146 B.R. 520, 531 (Bankr. D. Del. 1992) (quoting *In re Ionosphere Clubs, Inc.*, 98 B.R. 174, 179–79 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y.1989))).
- 23. There is no prejudice to the Debtors in requiring immediate payment of Claimant's Administrative Expense Claim.
- 24. Claimant will suffer severe hardship if its Administrative Expense Claim is not paid immediately. Claimant is a manufacturer and distributor of assorted houseware products, with significant overhead costs, including material costs, payroll, and rent payments. If forced to wait indefinitely for payment of the administrative expense amounts, Claimant will be harmed and may experience disruptions in its ability to accept and fulfill future orders for its customers. In reliance on the Debtors' representations that they had the ability, authority, and intent to timely pay Claimant for deliveries, Claimant agreed to, and delivered, in excess of \$2,640,683.58 in goods to the Debtors. These receivables, constituting the Administrative Expense Claim, are particularly large in proportion to the size of Claimant's business.

- 25. Claimant further submits that there would be no meaningful prejudice to other creditors if Claimant's Administrative Expense Claim is paid immediately. As the holder of an allowed administrative expense claim, Claimant is entitled to payment in full on its Administrative Expense Claim in any potential plan or liquidation; therefore, immediate payment will not disadvantage any other creditors, particularly because the Debtors have paid other administrative expense creditors and the DIP Financing was obtained to "reassure" vendors.
- 26. The totality of the circumstances supports compelling the Debtors to pay Claimant's Administrative Expense Claim immediately because further delay in payment will only prejudice Claimant. Accordingly, Claimant requests that its Administrative Expense Claim be allowed and paid within seven days after the entry of an order granting the Motion.

RESERVATION OF RIGHTS

27. By filing this Motion and asserting its Administrative Expense Claim, Claimant does not waive any rights related to the matters herein, including the right to submit this claim to insurance or assert additional claims or administrative expense claims against the Debtors.

CONCLUSION

28. Claimant respectfully requests that the Court enter an order, substantially in the form attached hereto as **Exhibit A**, (i) allowing Claimant's Administrative Expense Claim against the Debtors in an amount no less than \$2,640,683.58, (ii) ordering the Debtors to pay such Administrative Expense Claim within seven days of entry of the order, and (iii) granting such other relief as is just and proper.

WHEREFORE, Claimant requests this Court to approve the sum of \$2,640,683.58 as administrative expense claim and order such amount paid to Claimant and grant Claimant such other and further relief to which it is duly and justly entitled.

Dated: January 31, 2025 Respectfully submitted,

RITTER SPENCER CHENG PLLC

By: /s/David D. Ritter
David D. Ritter
State Bar No. 00791534
15305 Dallas Parkway, 12th Floor
Addison, Texas 75001
(214) 295-5078 – Telephone
(214) 329-4362 – Facsimile
dritter@ritterspencercheng.com – Email

ATTORNEY FOR STEVE SILVER FURNITURE COMPANY